Volume 8, No. 5, May – June 2017



## International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

## Available Online at www.ijarcs.info

# **Penetration Testing in Wireless Networks**

Harshdeep Singh Department of Computer Engineering Punjabi University, Patiala Punjab, India Dr. Jaswinder Singh Department of Computer Engineering Punjabi University, Patiala Punjab, India

*Abstract:* Wireless technology has brought many changes in the way of communication in modern days. With the increased world wide employment of wireless technology, there is raising concern about the security standards of the technology. Many encryptions and decryption techniques have been implemented today to transmit data over the networks. Despite that, many authentication methods have also been applied. However, such methods must be validated to ensure the security of wireless networks. [1] Penetration testing is the one which can be used to identify the unknown void in the network. This makes p en test crucial to validate the security mechanisms of the system and outcomes of penetration testing could be used to secure the network. This paper will present an overview of penetration testing and tools. For this purpose, this paper will review the previous work done on the security of wireless networks using penetration testing.

Keywords: Network Penetration Testing, Pen Test, Pen Tester, Wireless Networks.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Network P enetration T esting i s al so k nown as P en T est. Penetration testing is an attack on the system to validate the security o ft hes ystem by c hecking a ny p otential vulnerabilities in t he s ystem. T he Pen Test access the computer d evices t o ch eck for en try flaws. I t b asically identifies t he s ecurity flaws i n s s ystem, a n i nfrastructure, web applications, or a network. Security flaws might present in a n o perating system, mal-configuration, a pplication o r endpoints. [9]

Penetration testing includes many reconnaissance scans with firewall, s witches, s ervers, r outers, w orkstations, a nd network devices. It uses different means to achieve the goal. It simply checks whether a particular machine is vulnerable to attack or not if the shielding is sufficient & defenses (if any) the test defeated. The threats & risk pen test discovery must be reported to the admin or owner of the organization for which te st is b eing held. Penetration te st r eports a lso provide a list of p otential impacts to the o rganization and suggest acure end the risk.

### A. Pen Tester

A p en tester i s a n as sociate degree et hical hacker who i s employed t o a im t o c ompromise t he n etwork of a n organization with th e a im of a ssessing its in formation security. A t eam o f et hical hackers o perating t o i nterrupt into a n etwork i s t ermedas a t iger t eam. [12]Restrictions sometimes mandate what a p enetration tester will and can't do. For instance, a penetration tester is often not allowed to perform DoS attacks on a target network or lease a computer virus. However, t he possibility of t esting don e by e thical hackers d iffers c ounting o n t he r equirements o f t hat organization. [8]

Goals of Pen test may vary depending upon the consented activity f or a ny gi ven i nvolvement with t he major go al

© 2015-19, IJARCS All Rights Reserved

focusing on finding the risk that someone could utilize and telling t he c lient/owner a bout t hese v ulnerabilities a long with recommended strategies. The Pen tests are part of a full security a udit, e .g. the P ayment C ard I ndustry r equires penetration testing on a regular schedule.

### B. Methods of Pen Test:

Considering needs, there are two types of pen tests.

#### • External Penetration Test:

This test shows what a hacker would see into the network systems and use the vulnerabilities seen over the net. Here the threat is from the associated external network from the web. This check is performed over the web, overriding them firewall/IDS.

#### • Internal Penetration Test:

This c heck s hows r isks from i nside t he network. As a n example, what t hreat a d isappointed in side worker will cause t o t he network. This c heck i s pe rformed b y connecting to the internal local area network.

## C. Types of Penetration testing

#### • Black box:

This test is performed with zero data regarding the network. The tester does n ot have a ny prior information a bout the network or s ystem ar chitecture. The tester is needed to accumulate d ata v ictimization p enetration testing to ols or social engineering t echniques. The p enetration tester a lso utilizes the publically offered info over the web. [2]

#### • White Box:

The tester in this technique has complete knowledge of the system or network. Testers are given full info regarding the target n etwork. The d ata m ay b e t he host I P ad dresses, domains in hand by the c orporate, A pplications a nd t heir versions, Network diagrams, security defenses like firewall within the network. [2] This technique is most accurate as it

demonstrates the worst-case scenario when the attacker has full knowledge of the network.

#### • Grey Box/Crystal Box:

The tester has partial knowledge of the target system. The tester fakes an internal employee. The tester has specified an account on t he i nner network and normal acce ss t o t he system. This test evaluates internal risks from staff a mong the corporate. This test could be performed on both internal or external network. [2]

## D. Phases of Penetration testing



Figure 1: Phases of Penetration testing

## 1.D.1. Phase 1: Reconnaissance

Gathering p reparatory information or k nowledge ab out the target system or network.Reconnaissance could be executed actively or p assively. The t ester in t his p hase, acq uire as much as could r easonably b e ex pected of t he o bjective system and how it works. It incorporates distinguishing the target, d iscovering t he t arget s ystem's I P ad dress r ange, domain name, network, mail server, DNS information, and so forth. [12]

## 1.D.2. Phase 2: Scanning

Scanning internal a nd ex ternal n etwork d evices s earching for shortcomings. R equires th e u tilization of s pecialized tools t o c ollect more knowledge a bout t he target network, about t he s ystems which t hey ha ve s et up. I t i ntegrates scanning t he t arget ne twork f or s ervice r unning, f irewall detection, o pen p orts, f irewall lo cation, d iscovering vulnerabilities, OS identification, and so on. [12]

### 1.D.3. Phase 3: Gaining Access

This phase includes gaining control of at least one network devices to either separate data of significant value or utilize the network as a d ispatch site for at tacks a gainst d ifferent targets. I t i ncorporates s ocial en gineering, v ulnerabilities exploitation, and so forth. [12]

## 1.D.4. Phase 4: Maintaining Access

After g aining access t o t he t arget s ystem o r n etwork, t he tester must now develop the steps and take actions required in having the capacity to maintain access sufficiently long so as to collect as much information as could be expected. In

this stage, the tester should stay cautious, in order to not get caught when u tilizing th e h ost n etwork. I t in corporates privileges a cceleration, b ackdoor in stallation o n th e ta rget network t o maintain t he o btained e ntrance a nd i nterface with atarget at whatever time, and so on. [12]

## 1.D.5. Phase 5: Covering Tracks

Find a way to conceal the interruption and conceivable controls abandoned for future visits. Evaluate a wide range of logs, transferred backdoor(s) and anything identified with the attack.[12].

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Wang, S., Wang, J., Feng, C., & Pan, Z. (2016), analyzed the vulnerabilities and types of at tacks on I EEE 802.11 W LAN. I EEE 802.11 is a wireless network which uses radio to transfer data and hence is most susceptible to the security i ssues s uch as W PE/WPA/WPA2 cr acking, Denial o f S ervice ( DoS), an d r ouge acces s p oints. T he attacker could easily bypass the firewalls, access sensitive data, intercept packets and transfer malicious packets. The Penetration te sting e nsures th e s ecurity o f wireless networks. This research used WAIDPS as an auditing tool to d etect the wireless a ttacks a nd wireless in trusion t o mitigate the risks and protect WLAN. WAIDPS is an opensource wireless Swiss-Knife which works on Linux and is written in Python. This to ol is designed to a udit networks and detect wireless intrusion. The outcomes of this research found t hat W AIDPS can effectively d etect t he at tacks t o protect WLAN. [1]

Goel, J. N., & Mehtre, B. (2015) used V ulnerability Assessment a nd P enetration T esting (VAPT) f or cy ber defense. This research analyzed the performance of VAPT for c yber d efense t echnology t o g ive t he p roactive c yber defense as to find the vulnerabilities in advance before the attacker co uld at tack t he s ystem. T he s tudy d iscussed t he prevalent V ulnerability a ssessment t echniques an d s ome VAPT to ols. VAPT is a step by step process, and its life cycle i ncludes 9 s teps i n t he p rocess. The r esults of t he study shown that VAPT is an effective technique for Cyber defense t echnology. The administer can save his r esources and s ensitive i nformation using V APT t echnique a nd achieve proactive cyber defense. [2]

**B** L V Vinay Kumar, K Raja Kumar, & V Santhi. (2016) investigated different Penetration testing tools using Kali L inux. T his r esearch h elped t o und erstand ho w t o perform d ifferent p enetration te sts with v irtualized t ools, systems, and p rivate n etworks. T he t est w as p erformed to detect attacks such as Man-in-the-Middle attack and traffic sniffing. T he t echnique used E ttercap a nd D riftnet for security a uditing a nd c omputer ne twork a nalysis. T he implementation also used the Wireshark for traffic sniffing. The results showed that proposed technique for penetration testing could be used successfully in real time environment. [3]

**Fiocca, M. (2009)**presented a n i ntroduction o f Penetration testing to address the vulnerability of computer systems. T his p aper i ncluded a l iterature s urvey o f Penetration testing performed by security experts to find the vulnerabilities of thesystem. The study describes two main types of penetration testing white box and black box testing. The study also analyzed different tools of penetration testing specifically vulnerability scanners included amore explained review of tools such as Nessus. [4]

**Salas, M., & Martins, E. (2014)** proposed a technique for s ecurity t esting which used t wo t echniques, namely Penetration T esting a nd F ault I njection to d etect X SS attacks ag ainst W eb s ervices. X SS i s cr oss-site s cripting attack on Web services that raises new security challenges. This te sting te chnique c ombined th e W SS (WS-Security) and s ecurity t okens t o i dentify t he s ender an d en sure t he authorized acces s to S OAP m essages co mmunication. Another i njection to ol th at was used is W SInject to introduce faults or error on Web Services for checking the environment b ehavior. T he r esults of t he r esearch s hown that WSInject to ol is b etter a nd i mproves th e d etection of vulnerability t o compete with X SS at tacks as compared to soapUI. [5]

**Cherdantseva, Y., Burnap, P., Blyth, A., Eden, P., Jones, K., Soulsby, H., & Stoddart, K. (2016)** analyzed state of the art in cyber security risk assessment of SCADA (Supervisory C ontroland D ata A cquisition) s ystems. th e research identifies 24 risk assessment methods in the context of S CADA systems. T his study r eviewed p revious work done o ver risk assessment of S CADA s ystems. The results of the findings shown that the Cybersecurity risk assessment technique for S CADA system c an be i mproved b y Vulnerability assessment using penetration test. [6]

Srivastava, A., Morris, T., Ernster, T., Vellaithurai, C., Pan, S., & Adhikari, U. (2013)Performed the v ulnerability a ssessment o fi nformation a nd communication c yber network. The test was p erformed to model the attack using thevulnerability of electric grid with incomplete i nformation which was a nalyzed us ing gr aph theory. The r esearch s imulated the modified IEEE 14 bu s test case system using MATLAB and graph theory was used to analyze the IEEE 118 bus system. The results of thetest performed s hown the pos sible e ffects on thegrid du e t o integrated c yber-physical at tack. The r esults d emonstrated the effect of Aurora attack on the considered test case. [7]

Reaves, B., & Morris, T. (2012) presented a survey of vulnerabilities and mitigations related to cyber security. The paper focused on the vulnerabilities in multiple industrial radio te chnologies such a s I EEE 802 .15.4, I EEE 80 2.11, WirelessHART, B luetooth, an d ZigBee. T he p aper discussed h ow v ulnerabilities o n in dustrial r adio technologies could be used as vectors for attacks on control systems i n c omplex in frastructures.Vulnerabilities were classified i ntofour s ets; r econnaissance, p acket i njection, denial of service, and man-in-the-middle vulnerabilitiesThe paper al so r ecommended s ome methods f or s ecuring wireless networks in control systems. The authors suggested that W ireless networks with d enial of s ervice, packetinjection, or man-in-the-middle v ulnerabilities must not be used in acute control systems [8]

**Friedberg, I.,** McLaughlin, K., Smith, P., Laverty, D., & Sezer, S. (2016) presented anSTPA (System Theoretic P rocess Analysis)-SafeSec m ethodology t o analyze t he v ulnerabilities o n C yber-physical s ystems. th e proposed m ethodology was u sed f or bot h s afety a nd security. The results of the research shown the dependencies among c ybersecurity v ulnerabilities a ndsystem s afety. T he paper suggested that by using this information, an effective mitigation s trategy could be identified to ensure s afetyand security of the system The paper applied STPA-SafeSec to a use casein the power grid domain, and highlight its benefits. [10]

Ten, C., Liu, C., & Manimaran, G. (2008) proposed aframework to evaluate vulnerabilities of SCADA systems at three l evels:system, scenarios, and acces s p oints. The proposed t echniquewas based on c yber s ystems c ombined with thepassword models and firewall, the primary mode of defense in the el ectricity i ndustry t oday. The effect of a possible e lectronic in trusion wasassessed b y it s p otential loss of l oad in the G rid. This method wassupported by acombination of a lo gic-based s imulation t echnique and a unit f or the power flow calculation. The IEEE 3 0-bus system was used to assess the effect of attacks from outside or within the substation ne tworks. I n the end, countermeasures were given f orimprovement of the cybersecurity. [11]

#### III. QUANTIZATION

The t able below i ncludes t he o verview o f tools a nd techniques u sed b y p revious r esearchers f or P enetration testing and their outcomes.

Table 1: Overview of tools and techniques forPenetration testing

Penetration testing   Author Tools/Techniqu Results/Outco			
	Tools/Techniqu		
and Year	es	mes	
	D		
Wang,	Penetration	WAIDPS can	
S., Wang, J.,	testing and security	detect th e	
Feng, C., &	Auditing us ing	WEP/WPA/WPS	
Pan, Z.	WAIDPS	attacks to p rotect	
(2016)		WLAN	
Goel, J.	Vulnerability	VAPTi sa n	
N., &	Assessment an d	effective te chnique	
Mehtre, B.	Penetration T esting	to s ave r esources,	
(2015)	(VAPT) f or c yber	sensitive	
	defense	information and	
		Cyber defense.	
BL V	Penetration	Successful i n	
Vinay	testing to ols u sing	detecting Man-in-	
Kumar, K	Kali L inux,	the-Middle at tack	
Raja Kumar,	Wireshark, Ettercap,	and traffic sniffing	
& V Santhi.	and Driftnet	_	
(2016)			
Salas,	Penetration	WSInject to ol	
M., &	Testing a nd F ault	is b etter a nd	
Martins, E.	Injection t o d etect	improves t he	
(2014)	XSS at tacks ag ainst	detection o f	
	Web services	vulnerability t o	
		compete with X SS	
		attacks	
Srivasta	Vulnerability	Results	
va, A.,	assessment o f	showed t he	
Morris, T.,	information a nd	possible e ffects on	
Ernster, T.,	communication	gridd uet o	
Vellaithurai,	cyber ne twork b y	integrated cyber-	
C., P an, S.,	simulating t he	physical attack	
&	modified I EEE 14		
Adhikari, U.	bus t est c ase s ystem		

(2013)	using MATLAB and	
	graph theory was	
	used to an alyze t he	
	IEEE 1 18 b us	
	system.	
Reaves,	Survey o f	Wireless
B., &	vulnerabilities in	networks w ith
Morris, T.	multiple in dustrial	denial o fs ervice,
(2012)	radio te chnologies	packetinjection, o r
	such a s IE EE	man-in-the-middle
	802.15.4, I EEE	vulnerabilities
	802.11,	must not be used in
	WirelessHART,	acute co ntrol
	Bluetooth, a nd	systems
	ZigBee.	
Friedbe	STPA-SafeSec	STPA-SafeSec
rg, I.,	methodology t o	can be used for
McLaughlin	analyze t he	safety and security.
, K.,	vulnerabilities o n	
Smith, P.,	Cyber-physical	
Laverty, D.,	systems	
& S ezer, S.		
(2016)		
Ten, C.,	Vulnerability	Results
Liu, C., &	assessment o f	showed t he ef fects
Manimaran,	SCADA s ystems	of at tacks f rom
G. (2008)	using IE EE 3 0-bus	outside a nd within
	system	the network.

## IV. CONCLUSION

The wireless n etwork is a n e ssential p art o f to day's' Information T echnology. W ith t his va st i mplementation o f technology, security co ncerns al so i ncreased d rastically. Despite t he many security measures, n ew t echniques o f penetration testing are need to be introduced. Network Penetration te sting is a method to d etect v ulnerabilities i n network security before hackers could use them to access it. This p aper d escribes t he ap proach u sed p reviously for the network p enetration test. T he ai m o f t his p aper was t o provide ag eneral o verview of t he p enetration t echniques employed earlier in previous studies as well identifying the future research directions in penetration testing and wireless network security.

#### VIII. REFERENCES

[1] Wang, S., W ang, J., F eng, C., & Pan, Z. (2016). W ireless Network Penetration Testing and Security Auditing. ITM Web of Conferences, 7, 03001.

[2] Goel, J. N., & Mehtre, B. (2015). Vulnerability Assessment & Penetration T esting as a C yber D efence Technology. Procedia Computer Science, 57, 710-715.

[3]BL V Vinay K umar, K R aja K umar, & V S anthi. (2016). Penetration T esting us ing L inux T ools: A ttacks and D efense Strategies. International J ournal o f E ngineering R esearch andTechnology,V5(12), 153-158.

[4] Fiocca, M. (2009). L iterature S tudy of P enetration Testing. Retrieved f rom

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254054590\_Literature\_S tudy\_of\_Penetration\_Testing

[5] Salas, M., & Martins, E. (2014). Security Testing Methodology for V ulnerabilities D etection of X SS in W eb S ervices and WS-Security. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 302, 133-154.

[6] C herdantseva, Y., B urnap, P., B lyth, A., E den, P., Jo nes, K., Soulsby, H., & Stoddart, K. (2016). A review of cyber security risk assessment methods for SCADA systems. Computers & Security, 56, 1-27.

[7] Srivastava, A., Morris, T., Ernster, T., Vellaithurai, C., Pan, S., & Adhikari, U. (2013). Modeling Cyber-Physical Vulnerability of the S mart G rid W ith I ncomplete I nformation.IEEE T ransactions on Smart Grid, 4(1), 235-244.

[8] Reaves, B., & Morris, T. (2012). A nalysis and mitigation of vulnerabilities in s hort-range w ireless co mmunications f or industrial c ontrol s ystems. International J ournal of C ritical Infrastructure Protection, 5(3-4), 154-174.

[9]He, L., & B ode, N. (n.d.). N etwork P enetration T esting. EC2ND 2005, 3-12.

[10]Friedberg, I., M cLaughlin, K., S mith, P., L averty, D., & Sezer, S. (2016). STPA-SafeSec: Safety and security an alysis for cyber-physical s ystems. Journal of I nformation S ecurity a nd Applications.

[11]Ten, C., L iu, C., & M animaran, G. (2008). V ulnerability Assessment o fC ybersecurity f or S CADA S ystems. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 23(4), 1836-1846.

[12]Antunes, N., & Vieira, M. Defending ag ainst W eb ApplicationVulnerabilities. Retrieved 0 9 O ct, 20 13, fromhttp://www.infoq.com/articles/defending-againstwebapplicationvulnerabilities