1. INTRODUCTION

Menger [3] in 1942 introduced the notion of the probabilistic metric space. The probabilistic generalization of metric space appears to be well adopted for the investigation of physical quantities and physiological thresholds. Schweizer and Sklar [4] studied this concept, subsequently an important development of Menger space theory was due to Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [5]. So many recent works have been done in fuzzy and menger space [1], [2] and [7]. Fuzzy probabilistic metric space is used by R. Shrivastava, V. Patel and V. B. Dhatag [6], in their recent work in 2012 in this paper we have proved some fixed point results for fuzzy probabilistic space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 A fuzzy probabilistic metric space (FPM space) is an ordered pair \((X, F_a)\) consisting of a nonempty set \(X\) and a mapping \(F_a\) from \(X \times X\) into the collections of all fuzzy distribution functions \(F_a \in R\) for all \(\alpha \in [0,1]\). For \(x, y \in X\) we denote the fuzzy distribution function \(F_a(x, y)\) by \(F_a(x, y)\) and \(F_a(x, y)(u)\) is the value of \(F_a(x, y)\) at \(u\) in \(R\).

The functions \(F_a(x, y)\) for all \(\alpha \in [0,1]\) assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

\begin{align*}
\text{FPM (1)} & \quad F_a(x, y)(u) = 1 \forall u > 0 \text{ iff } x = y, \\
\text{FPM (2)} & \quad F_a(x, y)(0) = 0 \forall x, y \in X, \\
\text{FPM (3)} & \quad F_a(x, y) = F_a(y, x) \forall x, y \in X, \\
\text{FPM (4)} & \quad \text{If } F_a(x, y)(u) = 1 \text{ and } F_a(y, z)(v) = 1 \Rightarrow F_a(x, z)(u + v) = 1 \forall x, y, z \in X \text{ and } u, v > 0.
\end{align*}

Definition 2.2 A commutative, associative and non-decreasing mapping \(t: [01] \times [01] \rightarrow [01]\) is a \(-\)norm if and only if \(t(a, 1) = a \forall a \in [0,1]\), \(t(0, 0) = 0\) and \(t(c, d) \geq t(a, b)\) for \(c \geq a, d \geq b\).

Definition 2.3 A Fuzzy Menger Space is a triplet \((X, F_a, t)\), where \((X, F_a)\) is a FPM-space, \(t\) is a \(-\)norm and the generalized triangle inequality

\[ t(F_a(x, y)(u), F_a(y, z)(v)) \]

holds for all \(x, y, z \in X, u, v > 0\) and \(\alpha \in [0,1]\).

The concept of neighborhoods in Fuzzy Menger space is introduced as

Definition 2.4 Let \((X, F_a, t)\) be a fuzzy Menger space. If \(x \in X, \varepsilon > 0 (0,1), \) and \(\lambda \in (0,1)\) then \((\varepsilon, \lambda)\) - neighborhood of \(x\) called \(U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)\), is defined by

\[ U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{ y \in X: F_a(x, y)(\varepsilon) > (1 - \lambda) \}. \]

An \((\varepsilon, \lambda)\)-topology in \(X\) is the topology induced by the family \(\{U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda): x \in X, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in [0,1]\}\) of neighborhood.

Remark: If \(t\) is continuous, then Fuzzy Menger space \((X, F_a, t)\) is a Hausdorff space in \((\varepsilon, \lambda)\)-topology.

Let \((X, F_a, t)\) be a complete fuzzy menger space and \(A \subseteq X\). Then \(A\) is called a bounded set if

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} \inf_{x, y \in A} F_a(x, y)(u) = 1 \]

Definition 2.5 A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \((X, F_a, t)\) is said to be convergent to a point \(x\) in \(X\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(\lambda > 0\), there exists an integer \(N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)\) such that \(x_n \in U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) \forall n \geq N\).
or equivalently \( F_\alpha (x_n, x; \varphi) > 1 - \lambda \) for all \( n \geq N \) and \( \alpha \in [0,1] \).

**Definition 2.6** A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \((X, F_\alpha, t)\) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \), there exists an integer \( N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda) \) such that

\[
\forall \alpha \in [0,1], F_\alpha (x_n, x_m; \varphi) > 1 - \lambda \quad \forall n, m \geq N.
\]

**Definition 2.7** A fuzzy Menger space \((X, F_\alpha, t)\) with the continuous \( t \)-norm is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in \( X \) converges to a point in \( X \) for all \( \alpha \in [0,1] \).

Let \((X, M, \ast)\) be a fuzzy Menger metric space with the following condition

**FMS-6**

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} F_\alpha(x, y, t) = 1,
\]

\( \forall \, x, y \in X \)

Following lemmas is selected from [7] in fuzzy Menger space.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence in a Menger space \((X, F_\alpha, \ast)\) with continuous \( t \)-norm \( * \) and \( t \ast t \geq t \). If there exists a constant \( k \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
F_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})(kt) \geq F_\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \\
\text{and } n = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

then \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \((X, F_\alpha, \ast)\) be a Menger space. If there exists \( k \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
F_\alpha(x, y)(kt) \geq F_\alpha(x, y)(t) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \quad \text{and } t > 0,
\]

then \( x = y \).

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 3.1:** Let \( X \) be a complete fuzzy probabilistic metric space and \( T \) be a self-map of \( X \). The mapping \( T \) satisfying the condition;

\[
F_\alpha(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{F_\alpha(x, y)(kt)}{F_\alpha(x, y)(t)}, F_\alpha(x, Ty)(t), F_\alpha(x, Tx)(t) \right\}
\]

for all \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \neq y \) and \( T \) is onto. Then \( T \) has a fixed point in \( X \).

**PROOF:** Let \( x_0 \in X \) since \( T \) is onto there is an element \( x_1 \) satisfying \( x_1 \in T^{-1}x_0 \). By the same way we can choose \( x_n \in T^{-1}x_{n-1} \), where \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

If \( x_m = x_{m-1} = x_m \) for some \( m \), then \( x_m \) is a fixed point of \( T \) without loss of generality we can suppose \( x_n \neq x_n \) for every \( n \). So

\[
F_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = F_\alpha(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}), kt)
\]

and

\[
F_\alpha(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}), t) \geq \frac{F_\alpha(x_n, T(x_n), t)F_\alpha(T(x_{n+1}), T(x_n), t)}{F_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}
\]

Therefore by well known way \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \). Since \( X \) is complete \( \{x_n\} \) converges to \( x \), for some \( x \in X \).

**THEOREM 3.2:** Let \( X \) be a complete fuzzy probabilistic metric space and \( T \) be a self-map of \( X \). The mapping \( F \) satisfying the condition;

\[
F_\alpha(Tx, Ty, t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{F_\alpha(x, y)(kt)}{F_\alpha(x, y)(t)}, F_\alpha(x, Ty)(t), F_\alpha(x, Tx)(t) \right\}
\]

for all \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \neq y \) and \( T \) is onto. Then \( T \) has a fixed point in \( X \).

**PROOF:** Let \( x_0 \in X \) since \( T \) is onto there is an element \( x_1 \) satisfying \( x_1 \in T^{-1}x_0 \). By the same way we can choose \( x_n \in T^{-1}x_{n-1} \), where \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

If \( x_m = x_m \) for some \( m \), then \( x_m \) is a fixed point of \( T \). Without loss of generality we can suppose \( x_n \neq x_n \) for every \( n \). So

\[
F_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)
\]
\[ F_a(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}), t) \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
F_a(x_n, T(x_n), t)F_a(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1}), t) + F_a(x_n, T(x_n), t)F_a(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1}), t) \\
0
\end{array} \right. \]

Therefore \[ \{x_n\} \] is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \) and \( X \) is complete therefore \( \{x_n\} \).

Converge to \( x \) for some \( x \) in \( X \). So by continuity of \( T \) we can write

\[ T(x_n) = x_{n-1} \to T(x), \text{ as } n \to \infty \]

Hence \( T(x) = x \)

This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.3:** Let \( X \) be a complete fuzzy probabilistic metric space and \( T \) be a self-map of \( X \). The mapping \( T \) satisfying the condition;

\[ F_a(T(x), T(y), t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{F_a(x, T(x), t)F_a(y, T(y), t)}{F_a(x, y, t)}, \frac{F_a(x, T(x), t)F_a(y, T(y), t)}{F_a(x, y, t)} \right\} \]

for, all \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \neq y \) and \( T \) is onto, there exists a point \( w \) in \( X \) such that

\[ S(w) = \sup \{S(x) : S(x) = M(x; T(x), t), x \in X \} \]

Then \( T \) has a fixed point in \( X \).

**PROOF:** Let \( w \neq T(w) \), otherwise \( w \) is a fixed point of \( T \).

Put \( x = w \) and \( y = T(w) \)

\[ F_a(T(w), T^2(w), t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{F_a(w, T(w), t)F_a(T(w), T(T(w)), t)}{F_a(w, T(w), t)}, \frac{F_a(w, T(w), t)F_a(T(w), T(T(w)), t)}{F_a(w, T(w), t)} \right\} \]

\[ F_a(w, T(w), t) \]

\[ F_a[T(w), T^2(w), t] \geq F_a[T(w), T(T(w)), t] \]

which is not possible

So

\[ F_a[T(w), T^2(w), t] \geq F_a[w, T(w), t] \]

Similarly on putting \( x = T(w) \) and \( y = w \), we get

\[ F_a(T^2(w), T(w), t) \]

\[ F_a[T(w), T^2(w), t] \]

By (1.3.1) and (1.3.2)

\[ F_a[T(w), T^2(w), t] \geq F_a[w, T(w), t] \]

This implies that

\[ S(T(w)) > S(w) \]

giving a contraction.

Hence we must have \( T(w) = w \), that is \( w \) is a fixed point of \( T \) in \( X \).
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