Abstract – Spam messages are nuisance and huge problem to most users since they clutter their mailboxes and waste their time to delete all the junk mails before reading the legitimate ones. They also cost user money with dial up connections, waste network bandwidth and disk space and most importantly make available harmful and offensive materials such as pornographic sites to children. All these problems can be rectified by using an apt Mail filter. The Mail filter that we have considered here is open source engine widely known as Anti Spam SMTP Proxy. This paper provides a framework to overcome the limitations of the existing ASSP server engine by restructuring the existing ASSP server engine. This paper would deal with spam detection as image spam, video spam that come as attachments and a single line message that would direct to another URL with a trainable fuzzy classifier to build an automatic anti spam filter. Based on the rule, evaluations were done to predict whether the given mail is a valid or invalid and the report is updated in the database. Finally, our work attempts to improve performance of the Anti Spam SMTP Proxy Mailfilter by configuring the ASSP server engine with an efficient Mail Transfer Agent based on the analysis done with leading open source MTAs like Sendmail, Postfix and Qmail.
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I. INTRODUCTION

E-mail has been considered as one of the most primary communication channels among the users with the rapid extension of internet. Unfortunately e-mail is also one of those tools, if not used properly could bring in irreparable consequences.

But, recently the increasing popularity and fewer cost of sending an email makes it very easy to send unsolicited messages blindly to thousands of people at no cost at all by using easily available bulk mailing software and large lists of e-mail addresses typically harvested, even purchased or rented from web pages and news group archives.

The Anti Spam SMTP Proxy (ASSP) mail server engine is preferred one of the best from all the existing Mail filters as it is a platform independent open source-filtering engine and its file size is 565.5KB, which much less compared to other mail filters.

II. CURRENT SCENARIO

A multiple mail filtering engines are available worldwide at heavy cost and most are apt for a particular platform. Mail filtering engines like SPAMfighter, Cloudmark DesktopOne, MailWasher, ChoiceMailOne are note worthy and efficient. But, they are available at greater prices. The features [11] that each engine provides vary accordingly. But, the world is moving to open source and people rely on it.

The Anti Spam SMTP Proxy is a mail-filtering engine invented by John Hanna and developed by Fritz Borgstedt that works in the server side keeping track of the incoming mail and the mails are transferred towards the recipient via internet. It blocks the spam that passes via the engine and protects the user, free from spam and virus mails flooding into the inboxes and prevents the wastage of bandwidth.

It is an Open Source platform independent SMTP Proxy server, which was developed in PERL script and with an ease GUI monitoring and reporting tools [10] to the user. It can integrate with any kind of MTA that are available based on the user’s preference. It starts with network setup proceeding to address segregation and finally detects the presence of spam and processes the ham mails to the client.

III. EXISTING METHODOLOGY: BAYESIAN FILTERING ALGORITHM

The Anti Spam SMTP Proxy server uses three complementary strategies [10] to allow good mail and to block unsolicited email. They are:
[a] Whitelisting
[b] Spam Buckets
[c] Bayesian Filtering

Addresses listed in the Whitelist are readily granted access. Addresses listed in Spam Buckets are denied access.

The Anti Spam SMTP Proxy uses the Bayesian filtering technique, considered the most advanced form of content-based filtering, employ the laws of mathematical probability to determine which messages are legitimate and which are spam. In Bayesian filtering technique to effectively block spam, the end user must initially “train” it by manually flagging each message as either junk or legitimate. Overtime, the filter takes words and phrases found in legitimate emails and adds them to a list; it does the same with terms present in spam. To determine which incoming messages are classified as spam, the Bayesian filtering technique scans the contents of the email and then compares text against its two-word lists to calculate the probability.
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that the message is spam.

A. ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSP</td>
<td>Anti Spam SMTP Proxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>Mail Transfer Agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Domain Name Server</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. DRAWBACKS IN EXISTING ENGINE

[a] The existing engine does not support better attachment spam identification.
[b] No image, audio or video spam detection.
[c] The Bayesian Algorithm analysis needs to be more accurate.

C. DRAWBACKS IN BAYESIAN FILTERING

[a] Does not analyze a single line that would redirect to another URL.
[b] Delay in initial training and responding to messages built on unknown vocabularies.
[c] Does not analyze noise and image content.
[d] Bayesian poisoning.

IV. ENGINE ARCHITECTURE

The architecture preferred for this engine is Client-Server architecture.

A. SERVER SIDE

The filtering engine retrieves the incoming mail from the internet. An incoming mail need to undergo various analysis steps to identify itself as a ham. Firstly, the incoming mail connection is verified for its validity against various IP lists, Protocol verifications. Secondly, the incoming mail’s sender is verified with the DNS. Thirdly the mail is checked with the validity of the recipient i.e. whether the recipient exists in the domain or not. Fourthly, the blacklisting, whitelisting and greylisting of the mail with the corresponding recipient is verified. Fifthly, the content of the mail is read and a threshold value is set for each token identified. Finally the tokens are verified using various statistical techniques using Bayesian mail filtering algorithm and Fuzzy logic algorithm and the mail is declared to be a spam or not and are stored in the spam database of the client.

B. INTERFACE

The mails are then transferred through a mail server to the corresponding domain and route them to the correct domain with a relay server.

C. CLIENT SIDE

The intelligent filter will transfer the threshold values to the spam database of the client. In addition, the incoming mails from the MTA or the relay server are then transferred to the corresponding user and check for the user’s database to separate the mail as spam and ham. The mails are verified against the sender’s address and finally classified as spam and ham in the user’s mailbox.

V. PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT OF ASSP

The new engine was implemented using the following strategies:

[a] Whitelisting
[b] Spam Buckets
[c] Attachment Scanning
[d] Fuzzy Logic Technique

The whitelisted addresses are readily granted access. Spam Bucket addresses are denied access. In addition to this, the proposed engine also uses a concept called Greylisting, which is the technique for temporarily rejecting messages from unknown sender mail server. If it is legitimate, the originating sender will try again after a delay, if sufficient time has elapsed, the email is accepted for processing.

The attachments that come with the mail is checked whether it comes under blocked extensions or allowed extensions. The allowed extensions are then verified for spam in images [3,4] by analyzing the features of the image.
i.e. size, aspect ratio, pixels and comparing them with the test spam cases, comparing the histogram of the image with the test histograms and also using OCR which is ineffective and time consuming. The video spam is detected by taking frames of video at certain time intervals and analyzing them with the same way as image spam.

In the case of junk mails, since the mail body has little text information, [9] it provides insufficient hints to distinguish spam mails from legitimate mails. To address this problem, Fuzzy inference [7] method follow hyperlinks contained in the email body, fetch contents of a remote webpage and extract hints from both original email body and fetched web pages.

A. **Fuzzy Evaluation**

![Fuzzy Evaluation Process](image)

[a] The message from the corpus is parsed and important features are extracted.
[b] The feature values are passed to the respective fuzzy sets for Fuzzification.
[c] Based on the fuzzified input signals, a number of fuzzy rules are triggered in parallel with various values of firing strength.
[d] The rule outcomes are then aggregated, defuzzified, and based on the output a prediction was done.

In the case of junk mails, since the mail body has little text information, it provides insufficient hints to distinguish spam mails from legitimate ones.

To address this problem, the fuzzy inference methods follow hyperlinks contained in the email body, fetch contents of a remote webpage, and extract hints from both original email body and fetched web pages.

A two-phase approach is applied to filter spam in which definite hint is used first, and then less definite textual information is used.

B. **Fuzzy Rule**

**IF A1 is Low AND A2 is Mid AND A3 is High AND A4 is High THEN B is Spam**

Where A1 to A5 are crisp inputs representing feature values then AND represents the fuzzy AND operation. All the outputs of the fuzzy rules are then combined with fuzzy OR operation which would be the input for Defuzzification.

VI. **IMPLEMENTATION**

A. **Algorithm for Identification of Image spam**

Read file properties (image size, width, height, bit depth, aspect ratio)
Compare suspected file property with ham and spam feature database
Retrieve result
IF (result > threshold value)
Declare spam
Reject mail
Notify database
ELSE
Send to fuzzy filtering
ENDIF

B. **Algorithm for Identification of Video spam**

Collect multiple frames at various intervals randomly as images
Read each image property
Compare suspected file property with ham and spam feature database
Retrieve result
IF (result > threshold value)
Declare spam
Reject mail
Notify database
ELSE
Send to fuzzy filtering
ENDIF

C. **Fuzzy clustering algorithm**

Reads the content
Splits into definite (subject, address) hint and less definite (textual) hint
Reads subject and compare with existing database
Extracts feature
Separate as tokens
Forms fuzzy sets
Applies fuzzy rule
Declare spam or ham
Notify database

VII. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

This engine was developed and implemented in an organization with users to check its working and performance. The engine was trained with the previously received mails and the features were extracted. Then the engine was made to analyze the inbound and outbound mails towards and from the organization for more than a month and finally a report was generated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Last Week</th>
<th>Last Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Mails Received</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>9692</td>
<td>37959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mails Allowed</td>
<td>179(17.08%)</td>
<td>3728(38.46%)</td>
<td>10585(27.89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mails Blocked</td>
<td>869(82.92%)</td>
<td>5964(61.54%)</td>
<td>27374(72.11%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It was felt that the engine learns the behavior of the incoming mails and adapts itself to the new false positives and reduces the forwarding of spam mails accordingly. In addition, the incoming spam mails are much reduced than the existing engine.

The engine is then verified with invalid port numbers, invalid user name and invalid domain. The engine rejects them and displayed invalidity report accordingly.

### Table II MTA Performance Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Test Instance</th>
<th>Postfix (seconds)</th>
<th>Qmail (seconds)</th>
<th>Sendmail (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 recipients without attachment</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 recipients with attachment of size 5MB</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 recipients with attachment of size 10MB</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 recipients of mail size 15MB</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 recipients of mail size 15MB</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 recipients of mail size 15MB</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the analysis that was undergone with the MTAs listed, the paper suggests using Postfix as the best MTA among others and strongly recommends it to the users of ASSP. By using the best MTA, the performance of the engine will also increase as a whole.

## VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The image and video spam are well detected and the existing Bayesian algorithm was replaced with a trainable fuzzy filtering mechanism for better attachment scanning and reduces Bayesian poisoning. From the analysis done based on the three MTA’s namely Sendmail, Postfix and Qmail, it was felt that Postfix suits better with ASSP compared with any other MTAs to increase the performance of the server engine.

The ASSP Mailfilter engine is platform independent, free software licensed under the GPL. Since the entire paper completely relies on open source, future enhancements can be done easily with almost no cost except a little for maintenance.

The paper is successfully completed and implemented with all the basic needs and requirements that are described. In this paper, the proposed engine performs better functionality and a well-improved engine when compared to the existing engine. The engine described in the paper can further be enhanced in the future by adding a more additional features like audio spam detection, mails with only HTML in its body, multiple language detection, preventing spoofing and detecting spam in punycode.
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