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Abstract: Four Dimensional (4D) Playfair cipher fused with Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) has the capability of providing confidentiality to any type of data. The main aim of this research is to compare this cipher with the standard ciphers AES-256 and RSA-2048. The comparisons are done on the basis of encryption and decryption times, plain data size versus cipher data size, possible number of keys and types of data supported. It is found from the comparison analysis that the AES-256 is efficient in terms of time, memory and bandwidth consumption when compared to the other two ciphers. For AES-256, data size has to be a multiple of 16 bytes or padded values shouldn’t be a part of original data values in order to be unambiguous while the other two ciphers are always unambiguous. Also, AES-256 is weak against brute force attack when compared to other two ciphers. Among RSA-2048 and 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR, latter is highly efficient in terms of time, memory and bandwidth. Therefore, applications wanting to secure all sorts of data efficiently and unambiguously irrespective of data size can use 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, secret data to be transmitted via internet exist in many forms such as text, image, audio, video, encoded, compressed etc. Since internet is an open architecture it is vulnerable to attacks. Hence, the secret data have to be transmitted in the unintelligible form. One of the ways to achieve this is by using cryptography. AES and RSA are the two standard symmetric and asymmetric ciphers respectively that are used for encryption and decryption of data in today’s world [1], [2]. But, both have pros and cons. 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR can secure all kinds of data [3]. AES, RSA and 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR are introduced in the following subsections.

A. AES

NIST decided to form an heir of DES after some security defects in DES in 1997. Two conferences were held (AES1 in August 1998 and AES2 in March 1999) and the intention was not only the security but also the performance in different aspects of settings. In October 2000, Rijndael algorithm for encryption/decryption was chosen and after long security and performance testing got approved by the U.S government in 2001 [4]. The AES was published in 2001 by NIST as the symmetric block cipher algorithm and became the heir of DES as accepted standard. In AES, block size is 128 bits for both hardware and software implementations [5]. AES block size is fixed i.e. 128 bits and key sizes can be 128 or 192 or 256 bits. But, Rijndael’s block sizes and key sizes are multiple of 32 bits with a minimum of 128 bits [6]. The block sizes have a limitation of 256 bits but key sizes are not fixed theoretically. There has been attack on 7 rounds for 128-bit, 8 rounds for 192-bit and 9 rounds for 256-bit keys [7]. Hence, AES has 10, 12 and 14 rounds for key sizes 128, 192 and 256 bits respectively. AES is highly structured and efficient algorithm to protect the confidential information at the most prominent secure level [8].

B. RSA

Reference [9] gives the complete working of RSA which is conceived as the first real life and practical asymmetric key cryptosystem. It becomes de facto standard for public key cryptography. Its security lies in the integer factorization problem. For strong security of data, large cryptographic keys (public key and private key) are required. Since the keys till size 768 bits are already broken and 1024 bits key can be broken in this decade, 2048 bits key is used worldwide [10].

C. 4D Playfair Cipher Fused with LFSR

It is a novel extension of the Classical Playfair cipher, works with quartets supporting the security of 260 values in the range 0 to 259 where values 0 to 255 represent the values that can be stored in a byte memory and the three values 256, 257 and 258 are used as fillers and the value 259 is used only during substitution. It uses a key matrix of size $2 \times 2 \times 13 \times 5$ consisting of 2 directions, 2 planes in each direction, 13 rows in each plane and 5 columns in each row. This cipher has mainly four steps for encryption: circular shifting of values based on random numbers generated using LFSR, shuffling of values, 4D Playfair cipher encryption and rotation of key matrix after encrypting each quartet based on random numbers generated using LFSR [3]. This cipher removes the limitation of Classical Playfair cipher which supports only 26 English uppercase alphabets [11]. Also, Classical Playfair cipher works with digrams in which a digram and its reverse are encrypted in the same way making the cryptanalysis easier [12], [13]. This limitation is not present in 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR. From the comparison analysis done with other variants of Playfair cipher, it is found that 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR is better compared to others [3].

The main intention of this research is to find the advantages of 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR over the standard ciphers AES-256 and RSA-2048. Section II provides the comparison analysis of the three ciphers in which it is found that...
applications to be developed with a purpose of securing different types of data efficiently and unambiguously irrespective of the data size can use 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR.

II. COMPARISON ANALYSIS

The ciphers AES-256, RSA-2048 and 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR are implemented using C programming and executed in a computer machine having 2GB RAM, 64-bit processor with 2.16GHz speed and Ubuntu 16.0 operating system. GMP (GNU Multi Precision) library is used for developing RSA-2048. Test data used are of sizes 5, 50, 500, 5000 and 50000 bytes. The comparisons are shown in the following subsections which are made on the basis of encryption and decryption times, plain data size Vs cipher data size, possible number of keys and types of data supported.

A. Encryption and Decryption Times

Table I shows the times taken by the three ciphers for encryptions and decryptions of different data sizes. It can be seen that RSA-2048 cipher takes more time in each case than the other two ciphers. AES-256 cipher takes the least amount of time for both encryption and decryption of each data size. Second best is the 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR.

B. Plain Data Size Vs Cipher Data Size

Table II shows the cipher data sizes produced by the three ciphers for different plain data sizes. It can be seen that AES-256 is the efficient one and RSA-2048 is the inefficient one with respect to memory and bandwidth consumption. 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR is the second efficient cipher.

C. Possible Number of Keys

More is the number of possible keys; stronger is the cipher against brute force attack [11]. Table III shows the possible number of keys for each cipher. Here, RSA-2048 has the highest number. It is the possible number of (p, q) pairs where p and q are two unequal primes. 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR is second in line whose possible number of keys is calculated by taking the factorial of number of values it supports which is the factorial of 260. For AES-256, it is $2^{256}$ which is the least.

D. Types of Data Supported

A cipher is said to be ambiguous if it is unable to decide whether a padded or filler value in the decrypted data is a part of the original data or not. RSA-2048 and 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR can unambiguously encrypt/decrypt all types of data. Since any message can be represented in the form of bytes, RSA-2048 encrypts/decrypts each byte value separately and 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR encrypt/decrypt byte values in a group using the filler values that are not part of a byte values making it unambiguous. AES-256 works with 16 bytes of data at once. Even though the plain data size is not a multiple of 16, it is made one by appending padding bytes at the end of the plain data and then encrypted. For AES-256, in order to be unambiguous, data size has to be a multiple of 16 bytes or padded byte values shouldn’t be a part of original data values.

III. CONCLUSION

It can be seen from the comparison analysis that AES-256 is efficient in terms of time, memory and bandwidth utilization. But, AES-256 will be ambiguous if data size is not a multiple of 16 bytes or padded values are a part of original data values. Also, AES-256 is weak against brute force attack when compared to other two ciphers. Even though RSA-2048 is the strongest in terms of brute force attack and can secure all kinds of data unambiguously, it is highly time, memory and bandwidth inefficient. Hence, 4D Playfair cipher fused with LFSR can be used to secure all sorts of data efficiently and unambiguously irrespective of data size.
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