Implementation of Multilevel Thresholding on Image using Firefly Algorithm
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Abstract: Multilevel image segmentation involves large computation and time-consuming. The firefly algorithm (FA) has been applied to emerging the efficiency of multilevel image segmentation. Threshold values are chosen from the intensity values of the image ranges from 0 to 255. In this work, OTSU based firefly algorithm is applied for the gray scale images. OTSU’S between-class variance function is maximized to obtain optimal threshold level for gray scale images. The existence Darwinian Particle Swarm Optimization (DPSO) gives few numbers of iterations and small swarm size. In FA, the performance assessment of the proposed algorithm is carried using prevailing parameters such as Objective function, Standard deviation, Peak-to-Signal ratio (PSNR) and best cost value and search time of CPU. The experimental results reveal that the proposed method can efficiently segment multilevel images and obtain better performance than DPSO.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Digital images can be expressed as two dimensional matrix or two variables function. It consists of discrete points called pixels. In color images, each pixel has three values: red, green and blue. Each value has a range between 0 and L-1, where L is the number of levels of precision. On the other hand, gray level images are composed of pixels where each pixel has only one value between 0 and L-1 called gray level. It would be simple and more efficient to deal with gray level images than with the color images for many image processing problems. Applying image processing algorithms, color images are often converted to gray level images. The most widely adopted gray level is 256 (i.e. the value of each pixel is between 0 and 255).

Multilevel thresholding separates the pixels into several groups. Within a specified range, the pixels of the gray levels have same group. However, the problem gets more complex when the segmentation is achieved with greater details by employing multilevel thresholding. Then the image segmentation problem becomes a multiclass classification problem where pixels having gray levels within a specified range are grouped into one class. Usually it is not simple to determine exact locations of distinct valleys in a multimodal histogram of an image, that can segment the image efficiently and hence the problem of multilevel thresholding is regarded as an important area of research interest among the research communities worldwide [1-3].

Multi-thresholding approach generalizes the image thresholding by finding multiple thresholds which aim to separate multiple objects [4]. In general, for segmenting an image that has ‘n’ objects and background ‘n’ threshold can be used. To find the thresholds that best separate objects, it is easier to deal with statistics of the image instead of the image itself. Image histogram statistics is one dimensional representation that shows the frequency of each gray level in the image. It is computed by counting number of pixels that have the same gray level.

Converting color images into gray level images and then using the one dimensional histogram of the image makes thresholding-based segmentation process an easy and computationally efficient task, which can be used in many real time applications. There are many methods to find thresholds from image histogram. In the case of one threshold, we can try all the values between 0 and L-1 and then we choose the values that give the best segmentation to use it as a threshold [5]. However, for multiple thresholds segmentation, trying all the possible combination needs L × (L-1) × ... × (L-t+1) trials where t is the number of thresholds. In natural images, one can see that same objects have similar pixels and different object has unsimilar pixels, this suggest that the measure that will be taken into consideration is the inter-class variance and intra-class variance. The computational complexity and the existence of goodness measure in the case of multiple thresholds motivated the use of an efficient search algorithm.

2. BACKGROUND

Optimal segmentation of brain MRI based on adaptive bacterial foraging algorithm was done by Sathya and Kayalvizhi (2011). Multithreshold segmentation based on artificial immune systems was done by Cuevas, Osuna-Enciso, et al (2012). Firefly algorithm for solving non-convex

3. EXISTING SYSTEM

A general problem with optimization algorithms is that of becoming trapped in a local optimization. A particular algorithm may work well on one problem but may fail on another problem. If an algorithm could be designed to adapt to the fitness function adjusting self to the fitness landscape, a more robust algorithm with wider applicability, without a need for problem specific engineering would result. Strategies for avoiding local optima include stretching of parsolous and other convexification strategies. Nature points to a way that may help circumvent local optima. They propose a strategy based on natural selection in which, when a search in that is simply discarded and another area is searched instead. This is the type of search designed and analyzed.

In a simple implementation of PSO, a single swarm of test solution is utilized. To implement nature selection with a single swarm, the algorithm must detect when stagnation has occurred. Since a single swarm is unable to differentiate between a global optimum and a local optimum it cannot simply be extended to model natural selection. One could “time-out” the optimization and restart the algorithm or delete information about the current global optimum in hopes that the swarm will not return to it. At the end of each swarm update, the current fitness of the particles is used to order the particles. The top halves of the particles are then duplicated and replace the positions and velocities of the bottom half of the particles. The personal bests of the particles are not changed. The author is able to achieve better convergence on some test problems.

In search of a better model of natural selection using the PSO algorithm, they formulate what we call a Darwinian PSO, in which many swarms of test solution may exist at any time. Each swarm individually performs just like an ordinary PSO algorithm with some rules governing the collection of swarms that are design to simulate natural selection. The selection process implemented is a selection of swarms within a constantly changing collection of swarms.

The basic assumptions made to implement Darwinian PSO are:

- The longer a swarm lives, the more chance it has of processing offspring. This is achieved by giving each swarm a constant, small chance of spawning a new swarm.
- A swarm will have its life-time extended (be rewarded) by finding a more fit state.
- A swarm will have its life-time reduced (be punished) for failing to find a more fit state.

These simple ideas implement an algorithm imitating natural selection. In nature, individuals or groups that posses a favorable adaptation are more likely to thrive and procreate. The favorable adaptation is assumed to prolong the lifetime of the individual. Unfavorable adaptations shorten the lifespan of an individual or group.

4. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

The basic objective is to the recently developed Firefly Algorithm has been shown to outperform the longstanding Particle Swarm Optimization, and this work aims to verify those results and improve upon them by comparing the two algorithms with a large scale application. A direct hardware implementation of the Firefly Algorithm is also proposed, to speed up performance in embedded systems application and it performs large number of iterations. In DPSO the swarm size is small and it performs only few numbers of iterations. The emission source localization proves quite the challenge, and the FA actually outperforms the PSO in noisy situation. Each particle is simply moved from one location to another. This mutation is performed in a directed manner, such that each particle is moved from its previous location to a new, hopefully better, location. The location update process is drawn with vectors. Each particle knows its position, velocity, and personal best location found so far, and the global best but the time consumption is more in DPSO [6-8].
5. PROPOSED SCHEME

The firefly algorithm is based on three main principles:

1. All fireflies are unisex, implying that all the elements of a population can attract each other.

2. The attractiveness between fireflies is proportional to their brightness. The firefly with less brightness will move towards the brighter one. If no one is brighter than a particular firefly, it moves randomly. Attractiveness is proportional to the brightness which decreases with increasing distance between fireflies.

3. The brightness or light intensity of a firefly is related with the type of function to be optimized. In practice, the brightness of each firefly can be directly proportional to the value of the objective function.

This algorithm is based on two key ideas: the light intensity emitted and the degree of attractiveness that is generated between two fireflies [9, 10].

The light intensity of firefly i, \( I_i \) depends on the intensity \( I_0 \) light emitted by firefly i and the distance \( r \) between firefly i and j. In, the light intensity \( I_i \) varies with the distance \( r_{ij} \) monotonically and exponentially. That is

\[
I_i = I_0 e^{-\gamma r_{ij}}
\]  (1)

Where \( \gamma \) is the light absorption coefficient. In theory, \( \gamma \in [0;+\infty] \), but in practice \( \gamma \) can be taken as 1. Since the attractiveness \( \beta_j \) of the firefly i depends on the light intensity seen by an adjacent firefly j and its distance \( r_{ij} \), then the attractiveness \( \beta_{ij} \) is given by:

\[
\beta_{ij} = \beta_0 e^{-\gamma r_{ij}}
\]  (2)

where \( \beta_0 \) is the attractiveness at \( r_{ij}=0 \).

In the original method, the distance \( r_{ij} \) between any two fireflies i and j, at \( \chi_i \) and \( \chi_j \), could be given by the Cartesian distance:

\[
r_{ij} = \sqrt{\sum (x_i - x_j)^2}
\]  (3)

The movement of a firefly i towards another brightest firefly j is given by:

\[
x_i = x_i + \beta_{ij}(x_j - x_i) + \alpha \epsilon_{ij}
\]  (4)

where \( \epsilon_{ij} \) is a random parameter generated by a uniform distribution and \( \alpha \) is a parameter of scale.

\[
\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{b} \frac{i p_i}{\omega_k}}{\omega_k}
\]

In this work, the light intensity of a firefly i, \( I_i \), is determined by its objective function value.

The pseudo code of the Firefly Algorithm for bound constrained optimization problems can be summarized as follows:

```
Initialize Population of m fireflies \( \chi_i \), i=1,2,\ldots,m
Compute Light Intensity \( f(\chi_i) \), for all i=1,2,\ldots,m
While (stopping criteria is not met) do
   for i = 1 to m
      for j = 1 to m
         if \( f(\chi_i) > f(\chi_j) \) then
            Move firefly i towards j using (4)
         end if
      end for
   end for
Rank the fireflies and find the current best
end while
```

With the purpose of separating multiple objects from background, multilevel image segmentation is formulated. Otsu thresholding is a classical and efficient algorithm for image segmentation. In consequence, Otsu thresholding is selected to solve image segmentation problem in this work. The core idea of the Otsu thresholding algorithm is searching a threshold to maximize the between-class variance.

Suppose that there are \( N \) pixels with \( L \) gray levels in an image; the probability distribution of the gray level \( i \) \( (i=0, \ldots, L-1) \) can be defined by \( p_i = \frac{h_i}{N} \), where \( \Sigma_{i=0}^{L-1} p_i = 1 \) and \( h_i \) represents the number of pixels with the specific gray level \( i \). Hence, the mean value of the total image is \( \mu_T = \Sigma_{i=0}^{L-1} p_i h_i \). Let a threshold \( t \) partition the image into two classes: class \( C_1 \) including the pixels \( i \leq t \) and class \( C_2 \) including the pixels \( i > t \) [11]. Define the probability of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) to be \( \omega_1 = \Sigma_{i=0}^{t-1} p_i \) and \( \omega_2 = \Sigma_{i=t+1}^{L-1} p_i \). Then, mean values of the two classes can be calculated as,

\[
\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} i p_i}{\omega_k},
\]

\[
\omega_k = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} p_i,
\]

In this situation, the maximum variance between two classes can be defined as

\[
\sigma^2 = \omega_1 (\mu_1 - \mu_T)^2 + \omega_2 (\mu_2 - \mu_T)^2
\]

To solve the multilevel Otsu thresholding problem, an image needs to be classified into \( j \) classes \( (C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_j) \) with the set of thresholds \( (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_j) \). In a similar way, the maximum between-class variance with multilevel thresholds can be defined as

\[
\sigma^2_{mul} = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \omega_k (\mu_k - \mu_T)^2
\]

With,

\[
\omega_k = \sum_{i=0}^{t_k-1} p_i,
\]

\[
\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{t_k-1} i p_i}{\omega_k},
\]

\[
\mu_T = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{t_1-1} i p_i}{\sum_{i=0}^{t_1-1} p_i},
\]

\[
\omega_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{t_1-1} p_i,
\]

\[
\omega_2 = \sum_{i=t_1+1}^{j} p_i.
\]
\[ \mu_k = \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_k} \frac{ip_i}{\omega_k}, \]
\[ \omega_k = \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_k} p_i, \quad 1 < k < j, \]
\[ \mu_k = \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_k} \frac{ip_i}{\omega_k}, \]
\[ \omega_k = \sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_k} p_i, \quad k=j, \]

In other words, the problem of multilevel otsu thresholding can be understand as searching a set of thresholds \( (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{j-1}) \) that can maximize the between-class variance. The optimization problem is defined as

\[ \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \ldots, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{j-1} \right) = \max \sigma_{\text{mut}}^2 \]

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

The Proposed digital image segmentation using Firefly algorithm is developed using MATLAB with input image. The results obtained by the proposed method are compared with the Darwinian Particle Swarm Optimization. The entire images are resized to dimension of \( (256 \times 256) \). The quality metrics are evaluated for different input images such as PSNR, Threshold, std Val, Best cost, \( \mu \) value and time (sec).

The input cameraman image Fig.1 shows that the threshold values for the output image \( t = 2, 3, 4 \). The tabular column value represents that the different threshold values, std val, PSNR, Best cost, \( \mu \) value and time (sec) for different \( t \) values.

**Table 1 Different threshold, Std Val, PSNR, Best cost, \( \mu \) value and time(s) for different \( t \) values for cameraman image**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input image</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Std Val</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>Best cost</th>
<th>( \mu ) value</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70,144</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>11.5392</td>
<td>3650.335</td>
<td>3.6503e+03</td>
<td>2.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59,119,156</td>
<td>1.1866</td>
<td>13.0399</td>
<td>3725.715</td>
<td>3.7256e+03</td>
<td>2.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42,95,140,170</td>
<td>0.2596</td>
<td>16.8025</td>
<td>3780.686</td>
<td>3.7807e+03</td>
<td>4.339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 seems to be comparison of comparison of different output cameraman image with the PSNR value. The comparison of computational time in (sec) for various output cameraman image for different \( t \)-value [12, 13].

![Input image (cameraman)](image)

(a) Input image (b) Output image \( t=2 \)

(c)Output image \( t=3 \) (d) Output image \( t=4 \)

**Fig. 1 Input and output images for various threshold level \( t=2, 3 \) and 4**

(a) Input image, (b) Output image \( t=2 \), (c) Output image \( t=3 \), (d) Output image \( t=4 \)
From Table 1, the different threshold, std val, PSNR, Best cost, μ value, and time in (sec) for different t values for the cameraman image show that the performance is better than DPSO. From Fig 2, the bar graph showing that the increasing t level makes the increasing in PSNR values showing that the better quality of the segmented image and from Fig 3. The bar graph showing that the increasing t value makes the computational time increases, so that the thresholding levels is increasing to make the timing increases [14, 15].

6. CONCLUSION

A multilevel image thresholding approach based on the proposed firefly algorithm. Otsu based multilevel thresholding between-class variance function is maximized to obtain optimal threshold level for grayscale images. The performance parameters such as objective function, Standard deviation, PSNR, Best cost value, and search time of CPU. The experimental results reveal that the proposed method can efficiently segment multilevel images and obtain better performance than DPSO.

FUTURE WORK

The Firefly algorithm has to be applicable for real-time processing. To get better PSNR value and to implement for Multi-objective optimization functions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the authorities of Annamalai University for providing the necessary facilities in order to accomplish this piece of work.

REFERENCES

search and firefly algorithm, Springer international publishing. pp. 115-139.


Author’s Profile

Ms. K. Vennila received his B.E Degree in Electronics and communication engineering from Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu, India. Currently she is pursuing now Master degree in communication systems from Annamalai University, Annamalainagar and Tamilnadu, India. Her research interested includes Image Processing, Wireless Networks.

Prof. K. Thamizhmaran has received his B.E and M.E degree from Annamalai University, Chidambaram and Tamilnadu, India in the year of 2008 and 2012 respectively. He is currently working as an Assistant Professor in ECE / Department of Electrical Engg, FEAT, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu., India. His research interested includes area includes Networks security, Ad Hoc Networks, Mobile Communications, Digital Signal Processing. He has published more than 55 technical papers at various National / International Conference and Journals. He is a member of IAENG, IACSIT.